



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/686,772 | 10/17/2003 | Toyohiko Mitsuzawa | Q77942 | 7752 |
| <div>23373 7590 12/07/2007</div> <div>SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037</div> | | | | |
| | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | FIDLER, SHELBY LEE | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2861 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/07/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/686,772

Applicant(s)

MITSUZAWA, TOYOHICO

Examiner

Shelby Fidler

Art Unit

2861

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Shelby Fidler.

(3)_____.

(2) Natalya Dvorson.

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 29 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: the combination of Izumi et al. as modified by Okawa et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached; or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



MATTHEW LUU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Shelby 2 Fidler 11/29/2007
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Izumi et al. and Okawa et al. references were examined to determine the mechanisms behind carriage movement. Specifically, the previous rejection relies upon timing belt 130, unreferenced pulleys, and pulse motor 132 to show how the carriage 17 is moved in the main-scanning direction. Applicant feels that these elements are responsible for carriage movement in the approaching/separating direction rather than the main scanning direction. Examiner requires further consideration of the prior art references, and agrees to contact Applicant should the rejection be maintained.